Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/929,938	POLICICCHIO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Terrence R. Till	1744
All Participants:	Status of Application	:
(1) <u>Terrence R. Till</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>T. Fayette</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 23 July 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 44, 45 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	AL NATURE OF WHAT I	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
	•	
Tonome wit al		
	Applicant's Representative	e Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In the amendment, applicant's representative added claims 44 and 45 (method claims) based on the examiner's statement in the non-final office action that all previous withdrawn claims can be rejoined. The original method claims (38, 39) were cancelled in response to the election/restriction requirement and not pending for the non-final office action. It was the examiner's intent that all pending, non-elected (i.e., withdrawn) claims could be rejoined as that subject matter no longer created an undue burden of search. This statement did not apply to the cancelled method claims.